

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/269,618	07/21/1999	RICHARD BILLINGSLEY	DYOUP0185US	1326	
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER		
DON W BULSON			REAGAN, JAMES A		
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR 1621 EUCLID AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
19TH FLOOR			3621		
CLEVELAND,	OH 44115		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>%</b>
	Advisory Action	09/269,618	BILLINGSLEY, RICHARD	
	i nationy routin	Examiner	Art Unit	
.1		James A. Reagan	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to ave ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applical a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h	
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) [	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Reply was a month of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee hav fee und (2) as s	we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.130(a). The verbeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.130(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	on
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2.⊠	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b	o)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(0	<ul> <li>they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	!
(d	<ul> <li>they present additional claims without canceli</li> <li>NOTE:</li> </ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3.⊠	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>Claims 52 and 53</u> .		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were newly	
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: 52 and 53.			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51,61-63,65-69,73 and 74</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
10.	Other:	<i>7 1=</i>	HN W. HAYES ARY EXAMINER	
		1/		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments do not overcome the rejections of the remaining claims..